



Archetyp

<https://archetyp.global>
PRESS RELEASE

AN APPEAL TO RESPECTING THE PRIVACY RIGHTS OF THE AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC AND CAN YOU PLEASE STOP THE PEDOPHILES ON MY COMPUTER THAT I KEEP TELLING YOU ABOUT?

While there is much popular distaste for the proposal to introduce into Australian law, a minimum age requirement of 16 years for persons to register for social media platforms; we believe this is very much a step in the right direction, in the interest of protecting today's children from the harms which unrestricted access to an open internet bestows upon them. Less children cultivating extremist identities online, the better, I say.

We are Archetyp, and we support the notion of restricting access to social media platforms for those who will be most harmed by the dangers that these particular websites pose to our youth; we do not support the total erosion of online privacy and consumer freedoms. It is a mistake. That would be a mistake, much like that of the German BKA, when they impersonated a man and seemingly used the wrong typewriter.

"What's the connection?" you may ask; I am of course referring to Operation Deep Sentinel, the Europol-coordinated effort to destroy Archetyp. They imprisoned a man whose "crime" was offering a service whereby those struggling with drug addiction/dependency were given access to clean products, not being misrepresented, thereby preventing accidental overdoses and saving lives. This also reduced criminal street activity. The philosophy of Archetyp will not be stopped; this is not a harmful thought. We welcome your acceptance with great pleasure. You can jail a man, but not an idea; about that much is an impossibility in both abstract and practical effort.

Our mission thusfar is with concern to advocacy for support in helping those who struggle with substance abuse issues, and putting an end to child abuse which continues to take place online unabated: Reality constructed at the behest of tech oligarchs whose primary interests concern only their profit margins, that above all else, in their lack of respect and care towards the human condition; the same is true of certain agencies.

Some of our work thusfar includes activism campaigning against Valve, who seemingly allows players to upload child sexual abuse imagery (CSAM) to their Steam content delivery platform, which they are using to display to other gamers in an online multiplayer title (Team Fortress 2) which is rated for persons over the age of 15 to play; it is a Commonwealth offense to engage in sexual activity – and to contact or "groom" – a child under the age of 16 years, and to engage with child abuse content. Valve should not be allowed to continue its business in Australia while it continues to facilitate such egregious violations of Commonwealth law, which are indicative of the most immoral and reprehensible behaviours taking place online. The offending content has been reported numerously to Valve, who thusfar have failed to act; they are thereby complicit in facilitating this ongoing abuse, which is an active endangerment threat to child safety, that is entirely their responsibility to uphold.

Accountability matters, and their crimes against children should not be allowed to continue the way they presently do.

We find it to be of the utmost concern that Steam is not identified as one of the social media services to be proscribed, along with Discord, which continues to serve as a hotbed for pedophilia and child abuse to run rampantly. Furthermore, we are especially concerned that, in the current proposal as it stands, there is no requirement for pornography sites to follow the identity authentication protocol which is being requested for social media websites; this has drawn the ire and suspicion of many eyes in the Australian public, and we believe that is understandably so.

Over the last 3 years, Australia has mostly been supportive of the Albanese government, evidenced by Labor's landslide victory this year. I believe we all found it rather amusing when leader of the opposition was sorely shown the door to retirement. There were even celebrations throughout the country on the night of the election; our voting population was a very happy one at that particular point in time especially. As such, we would regret to see this good faith support be diminished by a hasty implementation of the proposed legislature.

The Coalition is a union (as much as they hate to be thought of in this way) of profit-motivated goons who routinely seek to prostitute the wealth of Australia out to the highest bidder, and we support governments of integrity; our appeal to you is that you solemnly uphold this integrity.

We have many concerns about the nature of the proposal in its current, unfinished state...

It is imperative that the Australian Government does not seek to prohibit the use of Virtual Private Network (VPN) services, as this measure would run the risk of affording tech oligarchs even more rights over the consumer than they already enjoy, in their goals to profit from monitoring the historical and realtime activity of users accessing their services. You are talking about an industry which is in the business of harvesting data, and putting your private information up for sale on the global market; almost-entirely unregulated havens of data brokers whose business is in the trade of selling all of our personal information for advertising purposes. They should not be in the business of selling out Australians wholesale.

Furthermore, you should not legislate a measure which would have Australians surrender their government identification to these tech oligarchs, as this would introduce a widened attack vector surface for malicious threat actors to compromise and defraud our working population. This is a very serious security concern, and the thought of it as a possibility should worry you, to the same end that it worries us.

We believe, in the interest of protecting our population from invasive overreach of both corporate and government concern, that authentication should be facilitated through access tokens generated from a trustworthy institution, which would be in use only for a one-time process per account creation on proscribed websites. We believe this would be the best course of action to take, in the interest of protecting young Australians, along with the identity of all Australian adults, which is at stake when you entrust our personal informations to data brokers.

Understandably, there is quite a bit of concern surrounding the implementation of the current proposal; We have only a few questions for policymakers, with regard to the real, practical implications of such a suggestion:

- Can you ensure the confidentiality of sensitive informations and that authentication measures will not be maliciously abused?
- How does the government intend to safeguard consumer protections, ensuring that our rights to privacy are not infringed upon?
- Will you assure us of the good faith trust which we have come to develop, as a country that voted for A Future Made in Australia?

**We are Archetyp.
Ideology is not criminality.
#FreeMarcHegemeister**